

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,926	04/15/2004	Kenneth T. Heruth	1023-359US01	1025
	7590 02/05/2007 & SIEFFERT, P. A.		EXAM	IINER
8425 SEASONS	•	•	HOLMES, REX R	S, REX R
SUITE 105 ST. PAUL, MN	I 55125		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3,762	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	02/05/2007	2/05/2007 PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/826,926	HERUTH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rex Holmes	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communer of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum staturent or reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI II, by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice)⊠ This action is non-final. r allowance except for formal mat		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the land 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2004 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the land 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to be	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya ne correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have beer al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO Notice of Draftsperson's Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.	D-948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 9/29/05 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information that has been struck through has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9, 11-20, 21-28, 30, 32-49, 52-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Singhal et al. (U.S. Pub. 2005/0060001 A1 hereinafter "Singhal").
- 4. Singhal discloses a method and apparatus for periodically determining the posture of a patient (Fig. 7 & ¶ 63), utilizing a implantable medical device with multiple sensors, preferably three-axis accelerometers located either on or in the body (¶¶ 5 and 43-44), a processor to identify a plurality of postures (¶¶ 6, 9 and 10), having a therapy

Art Unit: 3762

stored that is associated with each posture, and modifying the therapy and diagnostic data (¶¶ 41, 52). Singhal further discloses that the device identifies postures including if patient is sitting, standing (¶¶ 5, 66) and compares them to a threshold value (Fig. 8). Singhal also discloses that the therapy system can determine if the patient is awake (¶ 6, 9 and 10) and posture transitions (¶ 67).

5. Singhal discloses a programming device that can display parameter sets and diagnostic data in lists or graphically (¶ 52). Singhal further discloses that the user can select, view and program each parameter with the specified posture (¶¶ 30-31). Singhal also discloses that the stimulator can comprise a implantable drug pump that is capable of being used for a trial period (¶ 70).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 3762

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 8, 10, 29, 31, 50-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Singhal.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

9. Regarding claims 8, 29 and 50, Singhal discloses the claimed invention except for the processing of the diagnostic data to determine the amount of or percentage of time spent in a posture. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the processor as taught by Singhal,

Art Unit: 3762

with a method of processing data to extract the amount of or percentage of time spent in a posture since it was known in the art that time deviations of data are used to provide beneficial diagnostic data to determine the amount of pacing required by each individual patient.

10. Regarding claim 10, 31 and 51, Singhal discloses the claimed invention except for expressly stating that the processor determines the average number of posture transitions over a period of time. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the processor as taught by Singhal, with a method of processing data to extract the average number of posture transitions over a period of time since it was known in the art that time deviations of data are used to provide beneficial diagnostic data to determine the amount of pacing required by each individual patient.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rex Holmes whose telephone number is 571-272-8827. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rex Holmes
Examiner

Art Unit 3762

George Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

1/32/7

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :4/7/05; 1/2/07; 8/1/06; 6/16/06; 3/21/06; 9/29/05; 9/26/05.